

Scott A. Sinder, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson LLP
1330 Connecticut Ave., NW
Washington DC 20036
ssinder@steptoe.com

JUL 14 2017

RE: MUR 7256

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC and Ken Crerar in his official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. Sinder:

In the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") became aware of information suggesting your clients, The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC and Ken Crerar acting in his official capacity as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On June 22, 2017, the Commission found reason to believe that your clients violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3) and (4). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your and your clients' information.

In addition, please note that you and your clients have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified in writing that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519. This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(4)(B) and 30109(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that your clients wish the matter to be made public. Please be advised that, although the Commission cannot disclose information regarding an investigation to the public, it may share information on a confidential basis with other law enforcement agencies. \(\begin{align*} \)

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has authorized the Office of the General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Preprobable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to your clients as a

The Commission has the statutory authority to refer knowing and willful violations of the Act to the Department of Justice for potential criminal prosecution, 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(5)(C), and to report information regarding violations of law not within its jurisdiction to appropriate law enforcement authorities. *Id.* § 30107(a)(9).

Scott A. Sinder, Esq. MUR 7256 Page 2

way to resolve this matter at an early stage and without the need for briefing the issue of whether or not the Commission should find probable cause to believe that your clients violated the law.

If your clients are interested in engaging in pre-probable cause conciliation, please contact Nick Mueller, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1577 or (800) 424-9530 or by email at nmueller@fec.gov, within seven days of receipt of this letter. During conciliation, you may submit any factual or legal materials that you and your clients believe are relevant to the resolution of this matter. Because the Commission only enters into pre-probable cause conciliation in matters that it believes have a reasonable opportunity for settlement, the Commission may proceed to the next step in the enforcement process if a mutually acceptable conciliation agreement cannot be reached within sixty days. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a); 11 C.F.R. Part 111 (Subpart A). Conversely, if your clients are not interested in pre-probable cause conciliation, the Commission may conduct formal discovery in this matter or proceed to the next step in the enforcement process. Please note that once the Commission enters the next step in the enforcement process, it may decline to engage in further settlement discussions until after making a probable cause finding.

Pre-probable cause conciliation, extensions of time, and other enforcement procedures and options are discussed more comprehensively in the Commission's "Guidebook for Complainants and Respondents on the FEC Enforcement Process," which is available on the Commission's website at http://www.fec.gov/em/respondent guide.pdf.

We look forward to your clients' response.

On behalf of the Commission,

Sterlen Swalehow

Steven T. Walther

Chairman

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2 3	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6	RESPONDENT: The Counsel of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC and Ken A. Crerar in his official capacity as treasurer
7	I. INTRODUCTION
8	The Commission's Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") referred The Council of
9	Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC and Ken A. Crerar in his official capacity as treasurer
10	("Committee") for failing to timely disclose two related transactions concerning a transfer of
11	Committee funds in the amount of \$700,000. The Committee acknowledges that the transaction
12	occurred as the result of mistakes by accounting staff, and that it did not report the transactions
13	until the issue was brought to the attention of the Committee's treasurer after an audit.
14	Based on the available information, the Commission finds reason to believe that the
15	Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3) and (4).
16	II. FACTS
17 18	The Committee is a separate segregated fund of The Council of Insurance Agents &
19	Brokers ("Council"). On December 10, 2015, Alicia Anderson, the Council's Controller and
20	the Committee's Custodian of Records, transferred \$700,000 from the Committee to the
21	Council's operating account to meet an impending cash shortfall at the Council. ² According to
22	Anderson's declaration, she knew there were other accounts and assets that could have been use
23	to cover the shortfall, but she transferred funds from the Committee's account because she

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, Statement of Organization (filed March 29, 2009), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/449/29933398449/29933398449.pdf.

Resp., Dec. of Anderson (Jan. 30, 2017).

MUR 7256 (The Counsel of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 4

- believed doing so was "easiest." On January 8, 2016—29 days later—Anderson transferred
- 2 \$700,000 from the Council's operating account back to the Committee.⁴ The Committee did not
- 3 report these transactions on its 2015 Year-End or 2016 February Monthly Reports to the
- 4 Commission, which Anderson prepared.
- 5 The Committee's treasurer learned of these transactions following the Council's annual
- 6 audit, and on May 20, 2016, the Committee filed an amended 2015 Year-End Report and an
- 7 amended 2016 February Monthly Report denoting the \$700,000 "mistaken disbursement." On
- 8 September 7, 2016, RAD sent the Committee requests for additional information ("RFAI")
- 9 seeking explanations for the increased activity. On October 5, 2016, and November 2, 2016, the
- 10 Committee filed Form 99 Miscellaneous Reports explaining that the increased activity was the
- result of accounting mistakes made without the Treasurer's knowledge or authorization, the
- 12 Committee had amended its reports, and it implemented remedial measures to prevent similar
- mistakes in the future. On January 10, 2017, RAD referred the matter to the Office of General
- 14 Counsel.

Id. at ¶ 6.

⁴ Id. at ¶ 8.

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, 2015 Year-End Report, Amended (filed May 20, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/157/201605209015991157/201605209015991157.pdf; February Report, Amended (filed May 20, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/226/201605209015991226/201605209015991226.pdf.

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, Request for Additional Information regarding 2015 Year-End Report (Sept. 7, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/091/201609070300058091/201609070300058091.pdf; The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, Request for Additional Information regarding 2016 February Monthly Report, (Sept. 7, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/089/201609070300058089/201609070300058089.pdf.

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, FEC Form 99 (Oct. 5, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/837/201610059032169837/201610059032169837.pdf; The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers Political Action Committee, FEC Form 99, (Nov. 2, 2016), http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/599/201611029037018599/201611029037018599.pdf.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MUR 7256 (The Counsel of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 3 of 4

In the Committee's response to the referral, it reiterates that the Treasurer was unaware of

2 the two transactions and would not have authorized them if he had known of them.⁸ It

3 characterizes the transfers as "an innocent mistake," and it details the safeguards the Committee

4 voluntarily implemented to prevent recurrences. These safeguards include: (1) removing the

5 ability to make online transfers from the Committee's account; (2) allowing only the Treasurer

and a newly appointed Assistant Treasurer to sign checks from the account in the future; and

(3) having staff from the Committee and the Council undergo training with outside counsel

8 regarding proper disclosure and use of accounts. 10

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended, the "treasurer of each political committee shall file reports of receipts and disbursements." Such reports must include the identification of each person who makes a contribution in excess of \$200 and each person to whom a disbursement is made in excess of \$200. Under the monthly filing schedule, which the Committee has elected under 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(4)(B), such reports are due on the 20th day after the end of the month.

The Committee failed to timely report the \$700,000 disbursement in its 2015 Year-End Report or the receipt of the same amount in its 2016 February Monthly Report. Although the Committee voluntarily amended its reports, it reported the \$700,000 disbursement four months

Resp. at 1.

⁹ Id.

Resp., Dec. of Crerar.

¹¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a).

See 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3)(A), (b)(5)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(a)(4), (b)(3).

MUR 7256 (The Counsel of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 4

- late and the \$700,000 receipt three months late. Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to
- 2 believe that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(3) and (4) by failing to timely disclose
- 3 its disbursement and receipt of the \$700,000 transfer. 13

The factual record also indicates that the Council's transfer of the \$700,000 from its operating account to the Committee's account could be a prohibited contribution under 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) and that the Council's Controller made the transfer without the authorization of the treasurer as required by 52 U.S.C. § 30102(a). Nevertheless, we believe that there are a number of mitigating factors that counsel in favor of not pursuing these issues. First, the purpose of the Council's transfer of funds was made to remedy the initial disbursement made by the Committee in error. In addition, other factors include the voluntary disclosure of the activity, the strong remedial measures the PAC adopted, and the fact that the contribution at issue was a one-time occurrence.